



Kelly E. Farnan
302-651-7705
Farnan@rlf.com

November 23, 2020

BY CM/ECF

The Honorable Richard G. Andrews
United States District Court for the District of Delaware
J. Caleb Boggs Federal Building
844 N. King Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

**Re: *Sprint Communications Company, L.P. v. Charter Communications, Inc., et al.*,
C.A. No. 17-1734-RGA**

Dear Judge Andrews:

I write in response to the Court's November 20, 2020 Oral Order (D.I. 549) concerning the November 30, 2020 oral argument. Charter identifies the following issues in priority order that it requests to argue on November 30:

1. Charter's Motion for Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement: D.I. 468 at pp. 2-11; D.I. 497 at pp. 1-13; and D.I. 522 at pp. 1-7.
2. Charter's Motion for Summary Judgment of No Lost Profits: D.I. 468 at pp. 12-21; D.I. 497 at pp. 15-23; and D.I. 522 at pp. 7-12.
3. Charter's *Daubert* Motion regarding Dr. Mangum's Reliance on Prior Verdicts and Non-Comparable Litigation Materials: D.I. 468 at pp. 25-31; D.I. 497 at 27-32; D.I. 522 at pp. 14-17.
4. Charter's *Daubert* Motion regarding Dr. Mangum's Assignment of All Alleged VoIP Cost Savings to Sprint as a Royalty: D.I. 468 at pp. 25-26, 33-34; D.I. 497 at pp. 36-38; and D.I. 522 at pp. 18-19.

If Your Honor has any questions concerning the foregoing, counsel remains available at the Court's convenience.

Respectfully,

/s/ Kelly E. Farnan

Kelly E. Farnan (#4395)

cc: Counsel of Record (via CM/ECF)

